Briefing note on Lydia Foy case & Transgender Issues in Ireland



Introduction

Ireland has still no provision for legal recognition of transgender persons despite an unchallenged decision by the courts that the State is in breach of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) on this issue. The current Government and its predecessor both promised to change the law, but exactly five years after the decision by the courts there is still no sign of the long overdue parliamentary Bill. The Bill is now promised for early in 2013, but even when it is published it could still take a long time to go through the parliamentary process unless the issue is given high priority by the Government.

The Lydia Foy case

Dr Lydia Foy, a transgender woman, took the first legal case in Ireland seeking a new birth certificate and legal recognition in her female gender. She had applied to the Registrar of Births in March 1993 seeking a new birth certificate in her female gender. After years of fruitless correspondence, she began legal proceedings in 1997, represented by Free Legal Advice Centres (FLAC).

The High Court ruled against her in July 2002. Ironically, two days later the European Court of Human Rights held that the UK had violated the rights of two transgender women who had also been refused birth certificates. The law in the UK was the same as the law in Ireland but the UK quickly amended it following the ECHR judgments.

The ECHR did not have direct effect in Ireland at that time but it was given greater domestic effect by the European Convention on Human Rights Act in 2003. Lydia Foy then issued new proceedings relying on the ECHR Act and the recent transgender judgments by the Strasbourg Court.

On 19 October 2007, the High Court gave its judgment. It still held that Dr Foy had no remedy under Irish law but the judge granted a declaration under the new Act that Irish law was incompatible with the ECHR because of its failure to provide for recognition of transgender persons. The judge said that on this issue "Ireland as of now is very much isolated within the member states of the Council of Europe". He also expressed considerable frustration at the failure of the Government to take any steps to assist transgender persons in the five years since the **Goodwin** decision in the Strasbourg Court.

The then Government appealed this decision to the Supreme Court but public opinion was changing on this issue and in its "Renewed Programme for Government" in October 2009, the Government promised to "introduce legal recognition of the acquired gender of transsexuals".³

¹ Christine Goodwin v. UK 35 EHRR 447; I v. UK [2002] ECHR 592

² Foy v. An t-Ard Chlaraitheoir & Others [2007] IEHC 470

³ Department of An Taoiseach: Renewed Programme for Government, 10 October 2009, page 19

Briefing note on Lydia Foy case & Transgender issues in Ireland October 2012



In June 2010, the Government finally withdrew its appeal to the Supreme Court and the declaration of incompatibility with the ECHR became final. However, the declaration of itself did not change the law. It was still up to the Government to do so.

The Gender Recognition Advisory Group

In May 2010, the Government had set up a Gender Recognition Advisory Group (GRAG) "to advise the Minister … on the legislation required to provide for legal recognition by the State of the acquired gender of transsexuals". ⁴ The GRAG was made up solely of officials of various Government Departments likely to be affected by a change in the law and did not include any transgender persons. It was based in the Department of Social and Family Affairs, now the Department of Social Protection, which has responsibility for the office of the Registrar General of Births and Marriages.

The GRAG invited submissions from the public and held meetings with FLAC, Transgender Equality Network Ireland, the Irish Human Rights Commission, the Equality Authority and other interested organisations.

A new Government

Following the general election in February 2011, the new administration issued a programme for a "Government of National Recovery 2011-2016," which said: "We will ensure that transgender people will have legal recognition and extend the protections of the equality legislation to them".

The GRAG report

The report of the Gender Recognition Advisory Group was not published until July 2011. It was rather disappointing. It did call for gender recognition legislation and advised against making gender reassignment surgery a pre-condition for recognition, but otherwise it was cautious and conservative. It proposed a psychiatric diagnosis of "Gender Identity Disorder" as a basic condition for recognition, despite the fact that transgender persons feel this suggests that they are mentally ill or disordered, which they are not.

It also proposed that married transpersons must get a divorce before they could be recognised in their acquired gender – to avoid the possibility of having same-sex marriages. This had been opposed by all the submissions that had dealt with this issue and was particularly onerous in Ireland where, to obtain a divorce, couples must have been separated for a minimum of four years and must demonstrate that their marriage has broken down irretrievably. The Constitutional Courts of Germany and Austria have both ruled that similar preconditions in their transgender legislation infringed the applicants' fundamental rights⁶.

⁴ Letter from Oliver Ryan, Chair of the Gender Recognition Advisory Group to Des Hogan, Irish Human Rights Commission, 26 May 2010

⁵ Department of Social Protection: 'Gender Recognition – Public Consultation', 4 August 2010 page 54

⁵ The Labour Party: 'TOWARDS RECOVERY - Programme for a National Government 2011-2016', page 54

⁶ German Federal Constitutional Court, 1 BvL 10/05 (27 May 2008); Austrian Constitutional Court, Verfassungsgerichtshof B947/05 (21.06.2006)

⁷ The Equality Tribunal: EE/2008/04 - DEC-E2011-066, Hannon v. First Direct Logistics Ltd.



Other developments

Launching the report of the Gender Recognition Advisory Group in July 2011, Social Protection Minister Joan Burton committed herself to introducing legislation and promised to give it high priority, and a working group was set up in her Department to draft the Heads of the proposed Bill. But by March 2012, when FLAC had a meeting with the working group, there had been little progress and they had referred the question of 'compulsory divorce' for married transpersons to the Attorney General for legal advice.

In the meantime there had been some other developments that made clear that the issue of gender identity would not go away. In March 2011, the Equality Tribunal, which deals with complaints of discrimination, held that a transgender woman had been discriminated against and dismissed from her job on the basis of her transgender status.⁷

Late in 2011, the Irish registration authorities effectively recognised the acquired gender of a transgender woman from another EU country when, after representations from FLAC, she was allowed to enter into a civil partnership with her female partner in circumstances where the Irish civil partnership legislation specifically stated that such partnerships could only be established by two persons of the same gender.

Under EU law, the Irish authorities were obliged to accept the applicant's gender as determined by her state of origin, whereas a transgender Irish citizen would not be allowed to enter into a civil partnership in her/his acquired gender. It was clear that the longer the State delayed legislating, the more anomalies and contradictions were likely to arise.

Speaking at a conference of Transgender Europe in Dublin in September 2012, Minister Burton repeated her promise to give high priority to the Gender Recognition legislation and said she would refer the Heads of the Bill to a parliamentary committee for discussion within the next few months.

That timetable is already slipping, however, and the Government has now listed the Heads of Bill for publication in 2013. It has also been suggested that the draft legislation should be referred to the Department of Justice as well, particularly in relation to the 'forced marriage' issue. Given the backlog of legislation due in the Department of Justice alone, there are fears that transgender rights may continue to be left in the cold.

Lydia Foy and FLAC, in its capacity as her legal representatives, have been considering going back to seek to enforce the decision in her case. Iin the circumstances we decided to await the referral of the Heads of the Bill to the parliamentary committee. However, we cannot allow this long drawn-out struggle to drag on into its $21^{\rm st}$ year without further action being taken.

March 2013 will mark the 20th anniversary of Lydia Foy's first request for a birth certificate in her female gender. It is deeply frustrating and depressing for Dr Foy that after winning her case in the High Court in 2007 and after the State's withdrawal of its appeal in 2010, she has still not received that birth certificate.

4

Briefing note on Lydia Foy case & Transgender issues in Ireland October 2012



The Foy case also led to greater confidence and hope in the small and vulnerable transgender community, but the long delay in changing the law is beginning to produce demoralisation and despair.

The European Convention on Human Rights dimension

There is also another aspect to this saga. The decision of the High Court in 2007 in Lydia Foy's case was the first declaration of incompatibility with the European Convention on Human Rights to be made under the ECHR Act 2003. That Bill was introduced as a way of strengthening human rights protection in this State by giving people a mechanism for vindicating their rights without the long delays involved in taking a case to the European Court of Human Rights. It was also a way of helping to reduce the huge backlog of cases coming before that court.

It is now five years since the declaration of incompatibility was made in the Foy case. If it is not acted upon very speedily, it will seriously undermine the credibility of the 2003 Act as an effective mechanism and it will put a question-mark over the Irish Government's commitment to the European Convention on Human Rights.

Conclusion

There is no reason to doubt the commitment of the Ministers with responsibility in this area to changing the law in order to legally recognise transgender persons and prohibit discrimination against them. However, bureaucratic inertia and legal and medical conservatism have already led to unacceptable delays and in the current financial crisis, there may also be a temptation to regard issues like transgender rights as non-essential matters that can safely be postponed until a more convenient time.

But transgender persons have waited too long for their rights to be respected. They need action now.